

## Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 ATHENS 03648 161652Z

46

ACTION EUR-08

INFO OCT-01 SS-14 ISO-00 EURE-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 NSC-05

PM-03 SP-02 L-01 INR-05 INRE-00 DODE-00 PRS-01 SAJ-01

ACDA-10 CIAE-00 /051 W

----- 058471

O 161603Z MAY 75 ZFF-4

FM AMEMBASSY ATHENS

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 8765

INFO AMEMBASSY ANKARA IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY BONN IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY LISBON IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY LONDON IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY OSLO IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY PARIS IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY REYKJAVIK IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY ROME IMMEDIATE

S E C R E T ATHENS 3648

LIMDIS

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: NATO, GR

SUBJECT: NATO SUMMIT DECLARATION

REFS: (A) STATE 112530; (B) STATE 112249

1. AS INSTRUCTED IN REF A, DEC AND POL/MIL

OFFICER CALLED ON CHORAFAS, DIRECTOR, NATO DIRECTORATE,  
MORNING OF MAY 16 TO SEEK GREEK SUPPORT FOR IS VERSION OF  
NATO SUMMIT DECLARATION. DCM MADE POINTS PROVIDED IN  
PARA 3, REF A, AND CHORAFAS REPLIED THAT "FOR THE TIME  
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 ATHENS 03648 161652Z

BEING" THE GOG PREFERRED THE BELGIAN DRAFT OVER THE IS

DRAFT, WHICH IT REGARDED AS A SORT OF REPETITION OF THE OTTAWA DECLARATION OF 1974. CHORAFAS CHARACTERIZED THE IS DRAFT AS A "LARGE BASKET INTO WHICH SEVERAL IDEAS WERE THROWN," AND HE BELIEVED THE BELGIAN DRAFT CONFORMED MORE TO THE FORM AND PURPOSE OF THE SUMMIT. HE COMMENTED THAT THE FONOFF ALREADY HAD SENT A MESSAGE TO GREEK NATO DELEGATION SAYING ESSENTIALLY THE SAME THING.

2. DCM POINTED OUT THAT CHANGED CIRCUMSTANCES MIGHT MAKE REPETITION OF CERTAIN PRINCIPLES QUIRE VALUABLE AND NOTED THAT SINCE DISCUSSION OF SITUATION BOUBTLESS WOULD CONTINUE NEXT WEEK, FONOFF WOULD HAVE TIME IF SO DISPOSED TO ASK GREEK NATO DELEGATION TO KEEP AN OPEN MIND AND TO TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION US CONCERNS. CHORAFAS COMMENTED THAT THE OUTCOME MIGHT WELL BE COME COMBINATION OF THE TWO DRAFTS. HE ADDED THAT THERE WERE CERTAIN POINTS OF IS DRAFT GREECE DEFINITELY DID NOT LIKE. THESE INCLUDED:  
(A) CERTAIN "INDIRECT" REFERENCES TO THE GREEK DISPUTE WITH TURKEY DRAFTED IN SUCH A WAY AS TO GIVE IMPRESSION THAT IT ADDRESSED TO BOTH ALLIES AND PUT THEM BOTH ON THE SAME LEVEL. WHILE HE UNDERSTOOD THAT IT WAS DIFFICULT FOR ALLIANCE TO CHOOSE BETWEEN TWO ALLIES, THE BELGIAN DRAFT AVOIDED THIS PROBLEM;  
(B) LANGUAGE IN PARA 4 INDICATING NO ALLY HAD BEEN THE VICTIM OF ARMED ATTACK. THE GOG BELIEVED THAT GREECE HAD BEEN ATTACKED, SPECIFICALLY THE GREEK DETACHMENT (ELDYK) DURING THE CYPRUS CLASH; (C) ALTHOUGH GOG FELT LESS STRONGLY, IT COULD NOT ACCEPT CERTAIN OTHER POINTS WHICH WERE NOT RELEVANT ENOUGH OR NOT IN CONTEXT OF ACTUAL SITUATION. ONE SUCH WAS USE OF PHRASEOLOGY "TRADITIONAL" OR "POTENTIAL" ADVERSARY. THIS WAS NOT A METTER OF SUBSTANCE BUT OF PUBLIC OPINION SINCE IF OTHER SIDE AVOIDED SUCH REFERENCES (AS BREZHNEV HAD RECENTLY) IT WOULD MAKE A BAD IMPRESSION ON PUBLIC OPINION AND ON THE OTHER SIDE.

3. DCM REFERRED TO LANGUAGE ABOUT DISPUTES AMONG MEMBERS OF THE ALLIANCE AS POTENTIALLY USEFUL TO GOG. THIS COULD BE PEG ON WHICH ALLIES MIGHT HANG EFFORT TO AMELIORATE TENSIONS BETWEEN GREECE AND TURKEY FOLLOWING NATO SUMMIT. CHORAFAS FELT THIS WOULD REEQUIRE VERY HARD WORK IN NAC,

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 ATHENS 03648 161652Z

AND NOT JUST WORDS IN A PUBLIC DECLARATION. CHORAFAS POINTED OUT THAT NATO DID NOT HAVE MECHANISMS FOR RESOLVING DISPUTES AMONG ALLIES BUT THAT MECHANISMS RATHER THAN WORDS WERE NEEDED. DCM SAID THAT SINCE ONE OF GREECE'S PRINCIPAL COMPLAINTS ABOUT NATO SEEMED TO BE THAT IT HAD FAILED TO PREVENT CYPRUS CRISIS AND TO MITIGATE GREEK-TURKISH ANTAGONISM, HE DID NOT SEE WHY GOG OBJECTED TO LANGUAGE WHICH AFFIRMED A NATO INTEREST IN DOING THESE THINGS. HE

HOPED IN ANY EVENT THAT GOG WOULD BE ABLE TO TAKE US  
CONSIDERATIONS INTO ACCOUNT AND PLAY CONSTRUCTIVE ROLE IN  
ARRIVING AT ACCEPTABLE DECLARATION. CHORAFAS REACTED  
POSITIVELY, NOTING THAT GOG WAS NOT COMMITTED TO EVERYTHING  
IN BELGIAN DRAFT. SPECIFICALLY, HE NOTED THAT IN LAST SENTENCE  
OF FIRST PARA, USE OF "CONFIDENCE" WAS EXAGGERATED AND THAT  
"HOPE WAS PREFERABLE. IN SECOND SENTENCE OF SAME PARA,  
REFERENCE TO MAINTAINING INTERNAL COHESION OF ALLIANCE  
IMPLIED THAT THERE WAS MORE COHESION THAN GREECE THOUGHT  
EXISTED, AT LEAST BETWEEN GREECE AND TURKEY. DECM REITERATED  
US STRONG SUPPORT FOR IS DRAFT, OUR INTENTION TO OPPOSE  
BELGIAN DRAFT AND REPEATED THAT HE BELIEVED GREEKS SHOULD  
REVIEW THEIR POSITION ON IS DRAFT WHICH, IN HIS VIEW,  
OFFERED POTENTIAL ADVANTAGES TO GOG. CHORAFAS SAID HE  
WOULD REPORT US VIEWS TO BITSIOS AND URGE THAT THEY BE  
BORNE IN MIND DURING BRUSSELS DISCUSSION ON SUMMIT DECLAR-  
ATION. HE THOUGHT THAT SOME OF OUR CONCERNs ABOUT VAGUENESS  
OF BELGIAN DRAFT MIGHT BE EASED IF TWO DRAFTS WERE  
COMBINED IN SOME WAY.

KUBISCH

SECRET

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptoning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** ALLIANCE, AGREEMENT DRAFT, RESOLUTIONS, SUMMIT MEETINGS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 16 MAY 1975  
**Decapton Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decapton Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** ElyME  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975ATHENS03648  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750173-0002  
**From:** ATHENS  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19750542/aaaablpr.tel  
**Line Count:** 135  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 75 STATE 112530, 75 STATE 112249  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** ElyME  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 10 APR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <10 APR 2003 by lzenbel0>; APPROVED <26 SEP 2003 by ElyME>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
05 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** NATO SUMMIT DECLARATION  
**TAGS:** MPOL, GR, NATO  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006